



West Virginia

P O Box 3952
Charleston WV 25339-3952

(304) 345-9246
www.acluww.org

April 2, 2021

Speaker Roger Hanshaw
Speaker of the West Virginia House of Delegates
Room 228M, Building 1
State Capitol Complex
Charleston, WV 25305
roger.hanshaw@wvhouse.gov

Clerk Steve Harrison
Clerk of the Virginia House of Delegates
Room 212M, Bldg. 1
State Capitol Complex
Charleston, WV 25305
house.clerk@wvhouse.gov

West Virginia Attorney General Patrick Morrissey
1900 Kanawha Blvd. E #26
Charleston, WV 25305
patrick.j.morrissey@wvago.gov

sent via email¹

Re: Thirty-Day Notice of Intent to Take Legal Action Regarding Violations of The West Virginia Open Governmental Proceedings Act

Speaker Hanshaw and Clerk Harrison,

I am writing on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union of West Virginia to provide notice that, pursuant to Section 55-17-3 of the West Virginia Code, our organization is prepared to take legal action in 30 days in the Circuit Court of Kanawha County against the West Virginia House of Delegates and the Office of the Clerk of the House of Delegates for violations of the West Virginia Open Governmental Proceedings Act, W. Va. Code § 6-9A-1 *et. seq.*, (hereinafter “Open Meetings Act”).

We have been contacted by a person who had a professional interest in a bill being heard before the House Government Organization committee on Tuesday, March 23, 2021. This person was unable to hear substantial portions of debate and discussion on the bill because the audio feed was not operable. After looking into the matter, we have also been advised that committee members and staff knew that the audio was not working correctly and that at some point committee members were instructed to turn their microphones off.

¹ This letter will also be sent via certified mail on or around April 5, 2021.

Sunshine laws like the Open Meetings Act are intended to create greater transparency in government. The purpose of the Act is to promote “open government” and to allow the public “to educate itself about government decision-making through individuals’ attendance and participation at government functions, distribution of government information by the press or interested citizens, and public debate on issues deliberated within the government.” W. Va. Code § 6-9A-1.

The Open Meetings Act requires that “[e]xcept as expressly and specifically otherwise provided by law, whether or heretofore or hereinafter enacted . . . [or if an exception by statute applies] all meetings of any governing body shall be open to the public.” *Id.*

Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, the general public does not have access to the Capitol to observe the legislature in person. When members of the public were denied the ability to access the meeting because of a technology failure, the House in moving forward with the meeting acted in blatant violation of the Open Meetings Act.

The law provides that, if a governing body is found to be in violation of the Act, “[t]he court is empowered to compel compliance or enjoin noncompliance with the provisions of this article to annul a decision made in violation of this article.” W. Va. Code § 6-9A-6. If litigation is brought in this matter, the relief sought will be exactly that: **a request for a court order stating that any decision made by the committee in the March 23 2021 meeting be nullified.** If relief is granted, any law that is passed that was voted on in this committee meeting will be voided. We will also seek attorneys’ fees and costs.

In enacting the laws relating to open governmental proceedings, the legislature stated:

[T]he citizens of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the governmental agencies that serve them. The people in delegating authority do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for them to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments of government created by them.

W. Va. Code § 6-9A-1.

A technology failure, unintentional or otherwise, does not grant the legislature authority to give “public servants the right to decide what is good for [the public] to know or what is not good for them to know.” When in-person access to the Capitol is restricted, it is more important than ever to ensure that the public may remain informed through the limited channels available.

Regards,



Loree Stark
Legal Director, ACLU-WV